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#### Abstract

An Epidemic of Cardiovascular disease(CVD) is a predicted in the Indian subcontinent as a result of change in demographics and lifestyle and poor childhood nutrition impacting on disease in adult life. Lack of facilities for diagnosis and treatment of CVD and the cost of treatment mean that large sections of the Indian populations have poor access to both prevention and treatment. One cost effective approach, which could achieve substantial benefits within a few years, is provision of combined Cardiovascular(CV) medication to those at highest risk. The study was multicentre prospective open labeled single armed 12 week study. This includes Adults Male or Female age 18-75 years. Patients with at least one risk factor for cardiovascular disease namely Hypertension $\geq 139 / 89 \mathrm{~mm}$ of Hg and $\leq$ $180 / 110 \mathrm{~mm}$ of Hg according to JNC-VII guidelines and lipid profile LDL-C $\geq 130 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ or LDL-C > $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ with CAD Equivalents or patient with coronary artery disease or high cardiovascular risk factors. At the screening visits. the total number of patients successfully completed the study were 27 as per inclusion criteria. The safety of combination pill on Moderate \& Severe Hypertensive patients laboratory investigations show there is no increase in the SGOT, SGPT, Serum Creatinine, \&Serum Electrolyte levels. so the combination pill consider as safe. The combination pill show higher safety.
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## INTRODUCTION

An epidemic of Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is a predicted in the Indian subcontinent as a result of change in demographics and lifestyle and poor childhood nutrition impacting on disease in adult life. In contrast to the west the prevalence of ischemic heart disease in India has been steadily increasing over the last two decades, from around $1-4 \%$ to over $10 \%$, these figures are based on survey data but supported by clinical impression the prevalence in rural areas is about half that of urban populations. It is predicted that CVD will be the leading cause of death in India by 2010.

Asian Indians have higher prevalence of premature ischemic heart disease than Europeans, Chinese, and Malayas, this is likely to be influenced by conventional risk factors such as smoking, blood pressure and cholesterol levels plus an increasing prevalence of insulin resistance and other metabolic abnormalities.

Reducing CVD and the impact of the epidemic will require extensive public health strategies at the population and individual levels. The lack of facilities for diagnosis and treatment of CVD and the cost of treatment mean that large sections of the Indian populations have poor access to both prevention and treatment. One cost effective approach, which could achieve substantial benefits within a few years, is provision of combined Cardiovascular (CV) medication to those at highest risk.

Indications for three classes of treatment (antiplatelet therapy, blood pressure lowering and cholesterol lowering) exist among people at highest risk of CVD. Individuals with symptomatic coronary or cerebrovascular disease or diabetes with complications have over a $20 \%$ risk of a CV event in the next 5 years

## METHODOLOGY

Study design and setting: The study was multicentre prospective open labeled single armed 12 week study.

Ethical considerations: The ethical committee will be provided with the reports of the trail progress and will be promptly receive all adverse events reports.

## Inclusion criteria:

- Adults Male or Female age 18-75 years.
- Patients with at least one risk factor for cardiovascular disease namely hypertension $\geq$ $139 / 89 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and $\leq 180 / 110 \mathrm{~mm}$ of Hg according to JNC-VII guidelines and lipid profile LDL-C $\geq$ $130 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ or LDL-C > $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ with CAD Equivalents or patient with coronary artery disease or high cardiovascular risk factors. At the screening visits.


## RESULTS

The total numbers of patients enrolled were 30 as per the inclusion criteria of the study. All the patients were found to be complaint as per the study protocol except for three subjects, they were withdrawn from the study (patient NO. 3 and 21) due to his absence from visits $2,3,4$ and One patient (patient no 30) was withdrawn from the study due to the adverse event. The total number of patients successfully completed the study were 27 as per the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

The total 27 patients were divided in to 2 groups Moderate (Systolic BP 139-159) and Severe(Systolic Bp >159) hypertensive patients according to their blood pressure levels. Out of 30 patients 23 patients are under Moderate Hypertensive and 4 No of patients under severe hypertensive patients.

Visit 1 Moderate and Severe hypertensive patients systolic and diastolic, LDL-C, Triglyceroids, Total cholesterol and HDL levels are compared with mean of visit 2,3,4. These comparisions are represented in the figure.

## DISCUSSION

The efficacy of combination pill on Moderate Systolic Hypertensive patients was shown that $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ( $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ ). The combination pill was consider as effective. So the combination pill show higher efficacy the drug has decreased the Systolic Blood Pressure higher level and doesn't shown any side effects during the 4 visits.

The efficacy of combination pill on Moderate Diastolic Hypertensive patients was shown that $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ( $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ ). The combination pill was consider as effective. So the combination pill show higher efficacy the drug has decreased the Diastolic Blood Pressure higher level and doesn't shown any side effects during the 4 visits.

The efficacy of combination pill on Moderate Hypertensive patients Total Cholesterol Levels in patients was shown that $\mathrm{P}<0.05(\mathrm{P}=0.001)$. The combination pill was consider as effective. So the combination pill show higher efficacy the drug has decreased the Cholesterol higher level and doesn't shown any side effects during the 4 visits

The efficacy of combination pill on Moderate Hypertensive patients LDL-C Levels in patients was shown that $\mathrm{P}<0.05 \quad(\mathrm{P}=0.001)$. The combination pill was consider as effective. So the combination pill show higher efficacy the drug has decreased the LDL-C higher level and doesn't shown any side effects during the 4 visits.

The efficacy of combination pill on Moderate Hypertensive patients Triglyceride Levels in patients was shown that $\mathrm{P}<0.05(\mathrm{P}=0.004)$. The combination pill was consider as effective. So the combination pill show higher efficacy the drug has decreased the Triglyceride Levels higher level and doesn't shown any side effects during the 4 visits.

The efficacy of combination pill on Moderate Hypertensive patients HDL Level in patients was shown that $\mathrm{P}<0.05(\mathrm{P}=0.005)$. The combination pill was consider as effective. So the combination pill show higher efficacy the drug has increased the HDL Levels higher level and doesn't shown any side effects during the 4 visits.
The efficacy of combination pill on Severe Systolic Hypertensive patients was shown that $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ( $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ ). the combination pill was consider as effective. So the combination pill show higher efficacy the drug has decreased the Systolic Blood pressure higher level and doesn't shown any side effects during the 4 visits.

The efficacy of combination pill on Severe Diastolic Hypertensive patients was shown that $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ( $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ ) . The combination pill was consider as effective. so the combination pill show higher efficacy the drug has decreased the diastolic blood pressure higher level and doesn't shown any side effects during the 4 visits.

The efficacy of combination pill on severe hypertensive Cholesterol levels in patients was shown that $\mathrm{P}<0.05(\mathrm{P}=0.004)$. the combination pill was consider as effective. So the combination pill show higher efficacy the drug has decreased the cholesterol higher level and doesn't shown any side effects during the 4 visits.

The efficacy of combination pill on severe hypertensive LDL-C levels in patients was shown that $\mathrm{P}<0.05(\mathrm{P}=0.005)$. the combination pill was consider as effective. So the combination pill show higher efficacy the drug has decreased the LDL-C higher levels and doesn't shown any side effects during the 4 visits.

The efficacy of combination pill on severe hypertensive triglyceride levels in patients was shown that $\mathrm{P}<0.05(\mathrm{P}=0.001)$. The combination pill was consider as effective. So the combination pill show higher efficacy the drug has decreased the triglyceride levels higher level and doesn't shown any side effects during the 4 visits.

The efficacy of combination pill on Severe Hypertensive HDL Levels in patients was shown that $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ( $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ ). The combination pill was consider as effective. So the combination pill show
higher efficacy the drug has increased the HDL Levels higher level and doesn't shown any side effects during the 4 visits.
The safety of combination pill on Moderate and Severe Hypertensive Patients laboratory investigations show there is no increase in the SGOT, SGPT, Serum Creatinine and Serum electrolyte levels so the combination pill was consider as safe. The combination pill show higher safety.

## CONCLUSION

The efficacy of Lisinopril and Simvastatin, Aspirin, Hydrochlorothiazide combination was assessed by mean decrease in blood pressure, LDL-C, TG and Total Cholesterol level the therapy also increased HDL Levels after visit 1 (screening) by application of suitable statistical parameters ANOVA. The total numbers of patients enrolled were 30 as per the inclusion and exclusion criteria of the study.

All the patients were found to be complaint as per the study protocol except for three subjects, who was withdrawn from the study (patient No 3 and 21) due to his absence from visits $2,3,4$ and one patient (patient No 30) was withdrawn from the study due to the adverse event (Severe Dry Cough). the total number of patients successfully completed the study were 27 as per the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Result of the present study suggest a significant decrease in the all the efficacy parameters ( $\mathrm{p}<0.005$ ) concluding that the drug combination has effective in decreasing the blood pressure and LDL-C levels.

The safety parameters were assessed by concentrating on the adverse drug event during the 4 visits. The laboratory investigation show there is no increase in the SGOT, SGPT, Serum Creatinine and serum electrolytes. No serious and investigational adverse events were reported.

In this study is observed that the fixed dose combination pill showing $100 \%$ complaince it can be concluded that the calculating the difference between 28 tablets therapy for 28 days and comparing with number of tablets left in the container.

Therefore, the drug combination Lisinopril ( 5 mg ), Simvastatin ( 10 mg ) and Aspirn ( 75 mg ) and Hydrochlorothiazide ( 12.5 mg ) was found to have maximum safety with minimum adverse events reported, which is helpful in treatment of patients with hypertension and Dyslipidemia or coronary artery disease.

Table -1: Moderate Systolic Hypertensive Patients Data

| SE.NO | Patient no | visit1 | visit2 | visit3 | visit4 | Mean of visit 2,3\&4 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 150 | 140 | 140 | 140 | 140 |
| 2 | 4 | 150 | 140 | 140 | 130 | 136.6 |
| 3 | 7 | 150 | 140 | 130 | 140 | 136.6 |
| 4 | 8 | 140 | 130 | 130 | 120 | 126.6 |
| 5 | 9 | 150 | 140 | 140 | 140 | 140 |
| 6 | 10 | 150 | 140 | 140 | 140 | 140 |
| 7 | 11 | 140 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| 8 | 12 | 140 | 120 | 130 | 130 | 126.6 |
| 9 | 14 | 140 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| 10 | 15 | 150 | 140 | 140 | 130 | 136.6 |
| 11 | 16 | 150 | 160 | 150 | 120 | 143.3 |
| 12 | 17 | 140 | 130 | 130 | 130 | 130 |
| 13 | 18 | 150 | 140 | 160 | 140 | 146.6 |
| 14 | 19 | 150 | 140 | 130 | 150 | 140 |
| 15 | 20 | 140 | 150 | 150 | 130 | 143.3 |
| 16 | 22 | 140 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 140 |
| 17 | 23 | 140 | 130 | 130 | 130 | 130 |
| 18 | 24 | 140 | 140 | 130 | 130 | 133.3 |
| 19 | 25 | 140 | 140 | 120 | 130 | 130 |
| 20 | 26 | 140 | 140 | 140 | 140 | 140 |
| 21 | 27 | 150 | 140 | 130 | 140 | 136.6 |
| 22 | 28 | 140 | 140 | 140 | 130 | 136.6 |
| 23 | 29 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 130 | 143.3 |

Figure1: Moderate Systolic Hypertensive Patients Data


Table - 2: Moderate Diastolic Hypertensive Patients Data

| SE.NO | Patient no | visit1 | visit2 | visit3 | visit4 | Mean of visit 2,3\&4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 100 | 90 | 80 | 80 | 83.3 |
| 2 | 4 | 100 | 100 | 90 | 100 | 96.6 |
| 3 | 7 | 100 | 100 | 80 | 90 | 90 |
| 4 | 8 | 90 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| 5 | 9 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 80 | 86.6 |
| 6 | 10 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |


| 7 | 11 | 90 | 80 | 80 | 90 | 83.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | 12 | 100 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| 9 | 14 | 100 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| 10 | 15 | 100 | 100 | 90 | 90 | 93.3 |
| 11 | 16 | 100 | 90 | 90 | 70 | 83.3 |
| 12 | 17 | 100 | 80 | 90 | 80 | 86.6 |
| 13 | 18 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 14 | 19 | 100 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| 15 | 20 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 100 | 93.3 |
| 16 | 22 | 100 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| 17 | 23 | 90 | 90 | 80 | 80 | 83.3 |
| 18 | 24 | 100 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| 19 | 25 | 100 | 90 | 80 | 90 | 86.6 |
| 20 | 26 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 21 | 27 | 90 | 80 | 90 | 80 | 86.6 |
| 22 | 28 | 100 | 100 | 90 | 90 | 93.3 |
| 23 | 29 | 100 | 90 | 90 | 80 | 86.6 |

Figure - 2 : Moderate Diastolic Hypertensive Patients Data


Table - 3: Moderate Hypertensive Patients LDL-C Levels

| S.NO | Patient no | visit $\mathbf{1}$ | visit2 | visit3 | visit4 | Mean of visit 2,3\&4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 136 | 98 | 82 | 100 | 93.3 |
| 2 | 4 | 161 | 140 | 130 | 104 | 124.6 |
| 3 | 7 | 133 | 84 | 87 | 96 | 89 |
| 4 | 8 | 84 | 84 | 101 | 94 | 83 |
| 5 | 9 | 160 | 101 | 124 | 76 | 100.3 |
| 6 | 10 | 138 | 127 | 53 | 136 | 105.3 |
| 7 | 11 | 139 | 121 | 160 | 121 | 107.3 |
| 8 | 12 | 133 | 105 | 117 | 116 | 112.6 |
| 9 | 14 | 162 | 73 | 66 | 80 | 73 |
| 10 | 15 | 173 | 57 | 120 | 99 | 92 |
| 11 | 16 | 186 | 147 | 108 | 125 | 126.6 |


| 12 | 17 | 144 | 120 | 114 | 106 | 113.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13 | 18 | 156 | 112 | 104 | 112 | 109.3 |
| 14 | 19 | 141 | 112 | 104 | 112 | 109.3 |
| 15 | 20 | 193 | 84 | 79 | 105 | 89.3 |
| 16 | 22 | 189 | 107 | 122 | 120 | 116.3 |
| 17 | 23 | 186 | 106 | 129 | 132 | 122.3 |
| 18 | 24 | 143 | 65 | 128 | 109 | 100.6 |
| 19 | 25 | 130 | 72 | 162 | 85 | 106.3 |
| 20 | 26 | 148 | 121 | 46 | 78 | 81.6 |
| 21 | 27 | 152 | 67 | 134 | 98 | 99.6 |
| 22 | 28 | 130 | 88 | 140 | 110 | 112.6 |
| 23 | 29 | 147 | 101 | 113 | 88 | 100.6 |

Figure - 3: Moderate Hypertensive Patients LDL-C Levels
Moderate Hypertensive Patients LDL-C


Table - 4: Moderate Hypertensive Patients Triglyceride Levels

| S.NO | Patient no | visit 1 | visit2 | visit3 | visit4 | Mean of visit 2,3\&4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 256 | 187 | 220 | 172 | 193 |
| 2 | 4 | 243 | 173 | 173 | 158 | 168 |
| 3 | 7 | 208 | 180 | 185 | 167 | 177.3 |
| 4 | 8 | 247 | 220 | 225 | 169 | 204.6 |
| 5 | 9 | 230 | 198 | 180 | 172 | 183.3 |
| 6 | 10 | 225 | 205 | 153 | 143 | 167 |
| 7 | 11 | 182 | 164 | 156 | 123 | 147.6 |
| 8 | 12 | 142 | 124 | 128 | 118 | 123.3 |
| 9 | 14 | 184 | 162 | 62 | 68 | 97.3 |
| 10 | 15 | 153 | 145 | 138 | 130 | 137.6 |
| 11 | 16 | 317 | 224 | 240 | 243 | 235.6 |
| 12 | 17 | 206 | 185 | 236 | 101 | 174 |
| 13 | 18 | 167 | 96 | 158 | 133 | 129 |
| 14 | 19 | 111 | 90 | 94 | 89 | 91 |
| 15 | 20 | 126 | 105 | 121 | 88 | 104.6 |
| 16 | 22 | 189 | 134 | 140 | 155 | 143 |
| 17 | 23 | 299 | 109 | 311 | 144 | 188 |


| 18 | 24 | 152 | 109 | 115 | 116 | 113.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 19 | 25 | 89 | 80 | 97 | 54 | 77 |
| 20 | 26 | 140 | 80 | 137 | 133 | 116.6 |
| 21 | 27 | 354 | 132 | 228 | 142 | 167.3 |
| 22 | 28 | 393 | 231 | 217 | 241 | 229.6 |
| 23 | 29 | 199 | 197 | 156 | 121 | 158 |

Figure - 4: Moderate Hypertensive Patients Triglyceride Levels


Table - 5: Moderate Hypertensive Patients Total Cholesterol levels

| S.NO | Patient no | visit 1 | visit2 | visit3 | visit4 | Mean of visit 2,3\&4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 213 | 154 | 154 | 172 | 160 |
| 2 | 4 | 216 | 192 | 187 | 141 | 173.3 |
| 3 | 7 | 195 | 141 | 132 | 148 | 140.3 |
| 4 | 8 | 135 | 135 | 145 | 122 | 134 |
| 5 | 9 | 230 | 152 | 211 | 172 | 178.3 |
| 6 | 10 | 193 | 171 | 122 | 210 | 167.6 |
| 7 | 11 | 216 | 196 | 235 | 198 | 209.6 |
| 8 | 12 | 190 | 161 | 146 | 167 | 158 |
| 9 | 14 | 226 | 137 | 112 | 148 | 132.3 |
| 10 | 15 | 227 | 238 | 176 | 181 | 198.3 |
| 11 | 16 | 253 | 209 | 183 | 205 | 199 |
| 12 | 17 | 177 | 180 | 177 | 160 | 172.3 |
| 13 | 18 | 231 | 183 | 141 | 169 | 164.3 |
| 14 | 19 | 218 | 179 | 182 | 209 | 190 |
| 15 | 20 | 253 | 138 | 133 | 163 | 144.6 |
| 16 | 22 | 262 | 165 | 195 | 188 | 182.6 |
| 17 | 23 | 255 | 169 | 216 | 196 | 193.6 |
| 18 | 24 | 200 | 118 | 184 | 163 | 155 |
| 19 | 25 | 186 | 118 | 256 | 142 | 172 |
| 20 | 26 | 222 | 182 | 146 | 148 | 158.6 |
| 21 | 27 | 258 | 167 | 185 | 148 | 166.6 |
| 22 | 28 | 225 | 207 | 177 | 149 | 177.6 |
| 23 | 29 | 224 | 172 | 177 | 156 | 168.3 |

Figure - 5: Moderate Hypertensive Patients Total Cholesterol levels


Table - 6: Moderate Hypertensive Patients HDL levels

| S.NO | Patient No | visit $\mathbf{1}$ | visit2 | visit3 | visit4 | Mean of visit 2,3\&4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 33 | 36 | 48 | 56 | 46.6 |
| 2 | 4 | 38 | 48 | 52 | 63 | 54.3 |
| 3 | 7 | 40 | 38 | 42 | 56 | 45.3 |
| 4 | 8 | 28 | 28 | 26 | 31 | 28.3 |
| 5 | 9 | 49 | 42 | 65 | 67 | 58 |
| 6 | 10 | 34 | 43 | 57 | 67 | 55.6 |
| 7 | 11 | 59 | 60 | 67 | 73 | 66.6 |
| 8 | 12 | 31 | 45 | 42 | 56 | 51 |
| 9 | 14 | 41 | 40 | 42 | 55 | 45.6 |
| 10 | 15 | 33 | 27 | 43 | 56 | 42 |
| 11 | 16 | 28 | 40 | 45 | 44 | 43 |
| 12 | 17 | 36 | 40 | 40 | 58 | 46 |
| 13 | 18 | 55 | 59 | 56 | 62 | 59 |
| 14 | 19 | 61 | 54 | 74 | 68 | 65.3 |
| 15 | 20 | 42 | 55 | 62 | 64 | 60.3 |
| 16 | 22 | 46 | 38 | 48 | 62 | 49.3 |
| 17 | 23 | 41 | 43 | 42 | 57 | 47.3 |
| 18 | 24 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 42 | 38.3 |
| 19 | 25 | 46 | 43 | 61 | 48 | 50.6 |
| 20 | 26 | 50 | 53 | 56 | 62 | 57 |
| 21 | 27 | 43 | 46 | 53 | 57 | 52 |
| 22 | 28 | 29 | 32 | 31 | 31 | 31.3 |
| 23 | 29 | 54 | 53 | 50 | 51 | 51.3 |

Figure - 6: Moderate Hypertensive Patients HDL levels


Table - 7: Severe Systolic Hypertensive Patients Data

| SE.NO | Patient no | visit1 | visit2 | visit3 | visit4 | Mean of visit 2,3\&4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2 | 160 | 150 | 130 | 130 | 136.6 |
| 2 | 5 | 160 | 140 | 120 | 130 | 130 |
| 3 | 6 | 160 | 150 | 130 | 130 | 136.6 |
| 4 | 13 | 160 | 130 | 160 | 130 | 140 |

Figure - 7: Severe Systolic Hypertensive Patients Data


Table - 8: Severe Diastolic Hypertensive Patients Data

| SE.NO | Patient no | visit1 | visit2 | visit3 | visit4 | Mean of visit 2,3\&4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2 | 110 | 100 | 90 | 85 | 91.6 |
| 2 | 5 | 100 | 80 | 80 | 90 | 83.3 |
| 3 | 6 | 100 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| 4 | 13 | 110 | 90 | 82 | 76 | 82.6 |

Figure - 8: Severe Diastolic Hypertensive Patients Data


Table - 9: Severe Hypertensive Patients LDL-C Levels

| SE.NO | Patient No | visit1 | visit2 | visit3 | visit4 | Mean of visit 2,3\&4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2 | 213 | 178 | 206 | 152 | 181 |
| 2 | 5 | 236 | 184 | 198 | 178 | 186.6 |
| 3 | 6 | 209 | 172 | 153 | 169 | 164.6 |
| 4 | 13 | 228 | 232 | 197 | 156 | 195 |

Figure - 9: Severe Hypertensive Patients LDL-C Levels


Table - 10: Severe Hypertensive Patients Triglyceride Levels

| SE.NO | Patient no | visit1 | visit2 | visit3 | visit4 | Mean of visit 2,3\&4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2 | 154 | 67 | 134 | 98 | 99.6 |
| 2 | 5 | 166 | 109 | 125 | 127 | 120.3 |
| 3 | 6 | 147 | 95 | 89 | 75 | 86.3 |
| 4 | 13 | 157 | 98 | 81 | 94 | 91 |

Figure - 10: Severe Hypertensive Patients Triglyceride Levels


Table - 11: Severe Hypertensive Patients Total Cholesterol Levels

| SE.NO | Patient no | visit1 | visit2 | visit3 | visit4 | Mean of visit 2,3\&4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2 | 223 | 120 | 145 | 147 | 137.3 |
| 2 | 5 | 238 | 171 | 185 | 198 | 184.6 |
| 3 | 6 | 202 | 143 | 136 | 128 | 135.6 |
| 4 | 13 | 204 | 149 | 123 | 145 | 139 |

Figure - 11: Severe Hypertensive Patients Total Cholesterol Levels


Table - 12: Severe Hypertensive Patients HDL levels

| SE.NO | Patient no | visit1 | visit2 | visit3 | visit4 | Mean of visit 2,3\&4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2 | 43 | 44 | 55 | 52 | 47 |
| 2 | 5 | 35 | 46 | 45 | 49 | 46.6 |
| 3 | 6 | 36 | 53 | 62 | 67 | 60.6 |
| 4 | 13 | 28 | 32 | 37 | 42 | 37 |

Figure - 12: Severe Hypertensive Patients HDL levels


Table-13: Efficacy of Combination Pill on Hypertension

|  | Systolic Hypertension |  | Diastolic Hypertension |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Moderate Hypertension <br> $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 3})$ | Visit-1 | Mean of Visit 2,3,and 4 | Visit-1 | Mean of Visit 2,3,and 4 |
|  | $144.78 \pm 1.065$ | $137.08 \pm 2.172 * *$ | $96.96 \pm 0.981$ | $88.67 \pm 1.235 * * *$ |
| Severe Hypertension <br> $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{4})$ | $160.0 \pm 0.00$ | $135.8 \pm 2.09 * * *$ | $105.0 \pm 2.88$ | $86.87 \pm 2.29 * *$ |

n values are given as mean $\pm \mathrm{SEM} ; \quad{ }^{* *},{ }^{* * *}$ Values are statistically significant compared to Visit 1 (Base line) at $\mathrm{P}<0.01, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ respectively

Table - 14: Efficacy of Combination Pill on Hyperlipidemia

|  | LDL-C |  | Triglycerides |  | Total Cholesterol |  | HDL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Visit-1 | Mean of Visit 2,3and4 | Visit-1 | Mean of Visit 2,3and4 | Visit-1 | Mean of Visit 2,3and4 | Visit-1 | Mean of Visit 2,3and4 |
| Moderate <br> Hypertensive $(n=23)$ | $150.60 \pm 5.119$ | 102.96 $\pm 2.936^{* * *}$ | $209.21 \pm 16.047$ | $153.30 \pm 8.95^{* *}$ | $217.60 \pm 6.25$ | $169.4 \pm 4.30^{* * *}$ | $41.39 \pm 2.04$ | $49.73 \pm 1.99^{* *}$ |
| Severe Hypertensive ( $\mathrm{n}=4$ ) | $221.5 \pm 6.33$ | 181.8 $\pm 6.414^{* *}$ | $156.0 \pm 3.93$ | $99.3 \pm 7.52$ *** | $216.75 \pm 8.51$ | $149.12 \pm 11.8^{* *}$ | $35.50 \pm 3.06$ | $57.9 \pm 3.64 * *$ |

n values are given as mean $\pm$ SEM
**, *** Values are statistically significant compared to Visit 1(Base line) at $\mathrm{P}<0.01, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ respectively
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