



Phytochemical screening, antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of seeds of *Foeniculum vulgare* (ensilal)

^{1*}Abebie Beyazen, ²Engeda Dessalegn and ¹Wondimagegn Mamo

¹Department of Chemistry, College of Computational Science, Dilla University, P.O. Box 419, Dilla, Ethiopia.

²Department of Chemistry, Hawassa College of Education, P.O. Box 115, Hawassa, Ethiopia

Received: 20-01-2017 / Revised: 08-02-2017 / Accepted: 20-02-2017 / Published: 28-02-2017

ABSTRACT

Fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill) is one of the oldest spice plants which are considered as one of the world's most important medicinal plants. Phytochemical screening, the total phenolic contents (TPC), total flavonoid contents (TFC), in vitro antioxidant, and antimicrobial activities of extracts of *Foeniculum vulgare* seeds were investigated. The antioxidant activity was measured using 1, 1-Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) free radical scavenging, reducing power and total antioxidant activity. *Foeniculum vulgare* extracts were also investigated for in vitro antibacterial screening by disc diffusion method against *Bacillus megaterium*, *Enterococcus gallinarum*, *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Methanol extracts contained the highest TPC [(22.93 ± 7.17) mg GAE/g], and TFC [(8.581 ± 1.22) µg CE/mg] and water extract showed the highest DPPH radical scavenging activity (IC₅₀ = 207.94 ± 83.38 µg/mL). The results also showed that the aqueous: methanol (20:80, v/v) extract had strongest reducing ability (0.711 ± 0.102 nm) as compared to other extracts. Methanol extract exhibited the highest total antioxidant capacity (1.63 ± 0.19 µg/mL) as determined by the phosphomolybdenum method. Of the *Foeniculum vulgare* extracts, the highest inhibition zone was found in aqueous: methanol (20:80, v/v) extracts of the (12.29 ± 1.34 mm) against *Bacillus megaterium*. The study revealed that antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of the crude extract of *Foeniculum vulgare* extracted by different solvents indicating a high potential to be used as natural antioxidants in food preservation as well as for preventing oxidative stress mediated human disorders.

Keywords: Antimicrobial activity, antioxidant activities, DPPH, *Foeniculum vulgare*, reducing power, total flavonoid and phenolic content



INTRODUCTION

Oxidative stress is an imbalance between productions of free radicals and the body's antioxidant defense mechanisms. Lipid peroxidation in the walls of living cells is a marker of free radicals attack. The presence of peroxides, especially free radicals play a key role in the number of virulent diseases such as diabetes, heart disease, cancer, aging and other diseases [1, 2]. Antioxidants are referred to as compounds which are able to inhibit the oxidation of different biomolecules and helps in repairing the damages caused to the body tissues due to oxidation processes. Various studies have focused on use of antioxidants from natural sources so that they could be used as healthy additives and potential antioxidant in our daily food intake habits [3]. Antioxidants either synthetic or natural are potent scavengers of free radicals and have beneficial

effects on human health and disease prevention [4]. The use of plant-based natural antioxidants, such as phenolic substances like flavonoids and phenolic acids and tocopherols in foods, as well as preventive and therapeutic medicine, is gaining much recognition. Such natural substances are believed to exhibit anti carcinogenic potential and offer diverse health-promoting effects because of their antioxidant attributes [5]. Some biologically active compounds isolated from spices and herbs have been in use for the inhibition of growth of pathogenic microorganisms because of the resistance that microorganisms have built against antibiotics [6].

Fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.) is a biennial medicinal and aromatic plant belonging to the family Apiaceae. *Foeniculum vulgare* is a perennial umbelliferous herb with feathery leaf. It grows to a height of up to 2.5 m with hollow stems. The leaf

grows up to 40 cm long and the flowers are produced in terminal compound umbels. The fruit is a dry seed up to 10 mm in length [7]. *Foeniculum vulgare* is generally considered indigenous to the shores of Mediterranean Sea, but has become widely domesticated in many parts of the world especially on dry soils near the sea coast and on the river banks [8]. This plant has anti-inflammatory, antispasmodic, antiseptic, carminative, diuretic and analgesic effect and is effective in gastrointestinal disorder treatment. Also with its anti-ulcer and anti-oxidant properties it is used to treat neurological disorders [9].

Foeniculum vulgare seeds are anise like in aroma and are used as flavourings in baked goods, meat and fish dishes, ice cream, alcoholic beverages and herb mixtures [10]. *Foeniculum vulgare* (Mill.) locally called "*ensilal*" is a weed of cultivated or disturbed grounds, common in grassland areas of Ethiopia. The seeds and dried plant parts are used to flavor local drinks such as "*areke*" and "*tej*". The fresh leaf is also traditionally used to treat Gonorrhoea, Gland TB (Naqarsa), Kidney disease and Nosebleed [11]. To the best of my knowledge no study has been reported on total phenolic and flavonoid contents, antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of seeds of *Foeniculum vulgare*. The objective of this study was to determine total phenolic contents (TPC), total flavonoid contents (TFC), *in vitro* antioxidant, and antimicrobial activities of chloroform, water, methanol, and aqueous: methanol (20:80, v/v) extracts of *Foeniculum vulgare* seeds. In addition, the correlation between phenolic contents and antioxidant assays was also evaluated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals: Gallic acid, butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), Folin-Ciocalteu reagent, 2, 2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), catechin, ascorbic acid, aluminum chloride, Mueller-Hinton agar, acetic anhydride, phosphate buffer, sodium phosphate and ammonium molybdate were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, USA). The other chemicals and solvents were used in this experiment were analytical grade.

Collection of plant sample: Fennel seeds were collected in April 2016 from Hawassa Agricultural Research Center. Random sampling method was selected to eliminate questions of bias in selection. Dried fennel seeds were washed with tap water to remove possible potential dust. Afterwards, it was dried by cotton cloth to remove the excess liquid prior to drying. Drying was achieved at room temperature for 48 hr. Then ground by analytical mill using electric blender (FM100 model, China)

and packed in polyethylene bags to avoid entrance of air and any other dust from surrounding material.

Preparation of plant extracts: The chloroform, water, methanol, and aqueous: methanol (20:80, v/v) extracts of all were prepared by dissolving 10 g of the seeds fine powder separately in 100 mL each solvent. The contents were kept in orbital shaker for 24 h at room temperature. Thereafter, each extract was filtered using Whatman no.1 filter paper and evaporated to dryness under vacuum at 40°C by using a rotary evaporator (Buchi, 3000 series, Switzerland). The extraction was done in triplicate for each solvent and the resulting extracts were stored in a sealed plastic container at 4°C until further investigation. Unless specifically mentioned, all analysis was conducted on triplicate analysis.

Determination of extraction yield: The percentage yield was obtained using the formula $W_2 - W_1 / W_0 \times 100$. Where W_2 is the weight of the extract and the container, W_1 is the weight of the container alone and W_0 is the weight of initial dried sample. Then the extraction yield was expressed in terms of milligram of dried extract per gram of dried material (mg/g).

Phytochemical Screening: A qualitative phytochemical test to detect the presence of alkaloids, flavonoids, saponins, steroids, tannins, and triterpenoids was carried out using standard procedures [12-14].

Determination of total phenolic content (TPC): Total phenolic compound contents were determined by the Folin-Ciocalteu method as described in Ebrahimzadeh *et al.*, [15], with slight modification using gallic acid as standard. To 0.1 mL of the extract (1 mg/mL), 1 mL Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (diluted ten times) was added and the mixture was left for 5 min and then 1 mL (75 g/L) of sodium carbonate was added. The absorbance of the resulting blue color was measured at 765 nm with a UV- visible spectrophotometer (JENWAY, 96500, UK) after incubation for 90 min at room temperature. The total phenolic content was estimated from gallic acid calibration curve and results were expressed as milligram gallic acid equivalent/gram of dry extract (mg GAE/g). ($Y = 0.016x + 0.04$, $R^2 = 0.99$, $P < 0.001$).

Determination of total flavonoid content (TFC): Total flavonoid was estimated using the method of Ebrahimzadeh *et al.*, [15]. The extract (1 mL, 1 mg/mL) was diluted with 1.25 mL distilled water and 75 μ L 5% NaNO₂ was added to the mixture. After 6 min, 150 μ L 10% AlCl₃ was added and

after another 5 min, 1 mL 1M NaOH was added to the mixture. Immediately, the absorbance of the mixture, pink in color, was determined at 510 nm versus prepared water blank. A standard curve was prepared using 5 – 120 µg/mL of catechin. Results were expressed as milligram of catechin equivalents per milligram of dry extract of the plant extract. ($Y = 0.022x + 0.041$, $R^2 = 0.99$, $P < 0.001$).

Antioxidant activity tests:

DPPH assay: The DPPH free radical scavenging activity of the extracts of seed of *Foeniculum vulgare* was assayed according to the method of Szollosi *et al.*, [16], with slight modification. Different concentrations (50 to 1000 µg/mL) of the extracts were taken in different test tubes. Freshly prepared DPPH solution (2 mL, 0.006%, w/v) was prepared in methanol was added in each of the test tubes containing 1 mL of the extract. The reaction mixture and the reference standards (ascorbic acid and BHT) were vortexed and left to stand at room temperature in the dark for 30 min. The absorbance of the resulting solution was then taken at 520 nm. Methanol was used as blank. The ability to scavenge the DPPH radical was calculated using the following equation:

$$\text{DPPH scavenged (\%)} = \frac{(A_c - A_s)}{A_c} \times 100$$

Where A_c is the absorbance of the control and A_s is the absorbance in presence of the sample of the extracts. The antioxidant activity of the extract was expressed as IC_{50} . The IC_{50} value was defined as the concentration (in µg/mL) of extracts that scavenges the DPPH radical by 50%. High IC_{50} value indicates less antioxidant capacity.

Reducing power: The reducing power of *Foeniculum vulgare* extracts was determined according to the method of Yen *et al.*, [17]. Plant extract (1 mL) solution (final concentration 100-1000 µg/mL) was mixed with 2.5 mL potassium phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 6.6) and 2.5 mL of 1% potassium ferricyanide. Then the mixture was incubated at 50 °C for 20 min. Trichloroacetic acid (2.5 mL, 10%) was added to the mixture, which was then centrifuged at 3000 rpm (Centurion, 1000 series, UK) for 5 min. Finally, 2.5 mL of the supernatant solution was mixed with 2.5 mL of distilled water and 0.5 mL $FeCl_3$ (0.1%) and absorbance was measured at 700 nm.

Phosphomolybdenum method: The total antioxidant activities of the crude extracts were evaluated by the phosphomolybdenum method reported by Prieto *et al.*, [18], with slight modification. 0.3 mL plant extract (0.5 and 1 mg/mL) was mixed with 3 mL of reagent solution (0.6 M sulphuric acid, 28 mM sodium phosphate and 4 mM ammonium molybdate). The samples

were incubated at 95 °C for 90 min, was cooled to room temperature and absorbance was measured at 695 nm. 3 mL of methanol was used as a blank. The total antioxidant activity was expressed as milligram ascorbic acid equivalent/gram of dried extract (mg AAE/g) ($y = 0.321x + 0.019$; $R^2 = 0.996$, $P < 0.001$) based on the calibration curve.

Antimicrobial Activity

Preparation of disc diffusion assay: Diffusion disc of approximately 6 mm diameter was prepared from whatman no.1 filter paper by using a paper puncher and sterilized by autoclaving and dried in oven at 120 °C. For each test, sterile disc were soaked by aseptically 10 µL the crude extract at a concentration of 100 mg/mL of the crude extract using sterile micropipette.

Preparation of inoculums: The test bacterial strains were transferred from the stock cultures and streaked on Mueller Hinton plates and incubated for 24 hr. Well separated bacterial colonies were then used as inoculums. Bacteria were transferred using bacteriological loop to autoclaved Mueller Hinton that was cooled to about 45 °C in a water bath and mixed by gently swirling the flasks. The medium was then poured to sterile Petri plates, allowed to solidify and used for the biotest.

Disc diffusion susceptibility method: The antibacterial activity of different solvent extracted samples of seed of *Foeniculum vulgare* was carried by disc diffusion assay as described in Bauer *et al.*, [19]. Briefly, two to three sterile paper discs (6 mm as diameter) was lodged, under aseptic conditions, on Mueller Hinton agar Petri dish previously flooded with the appropriate bacterial culture which included two Gram +ve (*Bacillus megaterium* and *Enterococcus gallinarium*) and two Gram -ve (*Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*) bacteria. The discs were aseptically impregnated with 25 µL of *Foeniculum vulgare* extract solution (100 mg/mL). These dishes were kept for 15–30 min at room temperature before incubation at 37 °C for 24 hour. The antibacterial activity was evaluated by measuring the zone of inhibition against the tested organism. Kanamycin, and Gentamicin was used as a positive control and blank disc impregnated with solvent was used as negative control.

Statistical Analysis: All the experiments were conducted in triplicate. The results were expressed as mean values ± standard deviation (SD). The results were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Duncan post test. A probability $p < 0.05$ value of was considered to denote a statistically significance difference. This treatment was analyzed using SPSS 16.0 software.

The correlation analysis between phenolics, flavonoids and antioxidant activities were performed using origin 8 software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Extraction yield: The data in Table 1 represent extraction yield (mg/g) of the four extracts of *Foeniculum vulgare* seed. The yields of the four extracts varied from 32.2 to 117.9 mg/g of seed extracts. The chloroform extract gave the highest yield (117.9 ± 1.22 mg/g), while the aqueous: methanol (20:80, v/v) extract gave the least yield (32.2 ± 11.03 mg/g). Generally, it is known that the yield of chemical extraction depends on the type of solvents with varying polarities and pH, extraction time, and temperature as well as on the chemical compositions and physical characteristics of the sample [20].

Phytochemical analysis: The most active extract of each plant was tested for various phytochemicals. Alkaloids, saponins, terpenoids, saponins, terpenoids and flavonoids were predominantly present in active extracts (Table 2).

Total phenolic and flavonoid contents: The total phenolic contents (TPC) and total flavonoid contents (TFC) of *Foeniculum vulgare* seed extracts are presented in Table 1. The amounts of TPC and TFC extracted from fennel seeds in different solvent systems were in the ranges $1.09 \pm$

$0.48 - 22.93 \pm 7.17$ mg GAE/g and $2.404 \pm 1.05 - 8.581 \pm 1.22$ mg CE/g, respectively. These differences in the amount of TPC and TFC may be due to varied efficiency of the extracting solvents to dissolve endogenous compounds. TPC followed the order: absolute methanol > aqueous: methanol (20:80, v/v) > water > chloroform extract. Methanol extracts significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) than water and chloroform extracts. There was no significant difference ($p > 0.05$) between methanol and aqueous: methanol (20:80, v/v) extracts. The phenolics content found in methanolic seed extracts our study (22.93 ± 7.17 mg GAE/g; Table 1) was lower than the content found in buthanolic extracts from Italian fruits (103.13 mg/g), but higher than aqueous extracts (21.74 mg/g) as reported by Marino *et al.*, [21]. Conforti *et al.* [22], also reported TPC (chlorogenic acid equivalents) from extracts of cultivated and wild fennel to be 100 and 151 mg/g extract, respectively. Mata *et al.* [23], reported higher levels of phenolics using all plant, either in aqueous extracts (63.8 mg/g) or ethanolic extracts (63.1 mg/g).

Similarly methanol extract was the richest source of TFC ($p < 0.05$) and decreased in the order of; methanol > water > aqueous: methanol (20:80, v/v) > chloroform extracts (Table 1). No flavonoids content are observed in chloroform extract. Studies have shown that increasing levels of flavonoids in the diet could decrease certain human diseases [24].

Table 1: Extraction yield (mg/g), total phenolic content (TPC) (mg GAE/ g dried extract) and total flavonoid content (TFC) (mg CE/mg dried extract) of seed extract of *Foeniculum vulgare*.

Extraction solvents	Extraction yield (mg/g)	TPC (mg GAE/g)	TFC (mg CE/g)
Chloroform	117.9 ± 1.22^c	1.09 ± 0.48^a	ND
Water	68.9 ± 15.45^b	7.5 ± 2.12^a	3.702 ± 0.03^a
Methanol	43.2 ± 0.77^a	22.93 ± 7.17^b	8.581 ± 1.22^b
aqueous: methanol(20:80, v/v)	32.2 ± 11.03^a	14.89 ± 3.55^b	2.404 ± 1.05^a

All values are means \pm standard deviations (n=3). In each column, different superscript letters (a - c) are significantly different at $p < 0.05$ (one way ANOVA followed by Duncan post test). ND = not detected.

Table 2: Phytochemical constituents of seed extracts of *Foeniculum vulgare*.

Components	Chloroform extract	Water extract	Methanol extract	aqueous: methanol(20:80, v/v)
Alkaloids	-	+	+	+
Tannis	-	+	+	+
Saponins	-	+	+	+
Terpenoids	+	+	+	+
Flavonoids	-	+	+	+
Steroids	-	-	+	+

Antioxidant activity**DPPH scavenging**

DPPH is a stable free radical with characteristic absorption at 520 nm and antioxidants react with DPPH radical and convert it to diamagnetic 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazine molecule. The degree of discoloration indicates the scavenging potential of the antioxidant extract, which is due to the hydrogen donating ability [25]. Figure 1 shows the dose–response curve of DPPH radical scavenging activity of the *Foeniculum vulgare* seed of chloroform, water, methanol, and aqueous:

methanol (20:80, v/v) extracts compared with BHT and L- ascorbic acid. As the concentration of sample increased, the percent inhibition of DPPH radical also increased (Figure 1). At a concentration of 1000 µg/mL, the scavenging effect of L-ascorbic acid, BHT, and *Foeniculum vulgare* seed extracts, on the DPPH radical scavenging decreased in the order of L- ascorbic acid > BHT > water > aqueous: methanol (20:80, v/v) > methanol > chloroform, which were $96.98 \pm 0.04\%$, $95.56 \pm 0.04\%$, $91.87 \pm 0.043\%$, $84.69 \pm 0.46\%$, $80.01 \pm 2.14\%$ and $45.79 \pm 2.03\%$, respectively.




Figure 1: DPPH radical scavenging activity (%) of chloroform, water, methanol, and aqueous: methanol (20:80, v/v) extracts from dried seed *Foeniculum vulgare* and controls (L- ascorbic acid and BHT). Each value is expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (n=3).

The parameter IC_{50} is used for the interpretation of the results from the DPPH method and is defined as the concentration of substrate that causes 50% loss of the DPPH activity. Effectiveness of antioxidant properties is inversely correlated with IC_{50} values. The IC_{50} values of all the extracts were calculated from plotted graph of percentage scavenging activity against concentration of the extracts (Table 3). Water extracts of *Foeniculum vulgare* had the highest scavenging activity as compared to the other extracts due to its least IC_{50} value (Table 3). The extracts with the weakest scavenging potency were chloroform extract ($IC_{50} > 1000$ µg/mL) which had significantly lower activity. The strongest scavenging activity (lower IC_{50} value) was recorded for water extract (Table 3). According to Conforti *et al.*, [22], the IC_{50} value for methanol extract of wild fennel (31 µg/mL) and cultivated fennel seeds (83 µg/mL) was greater than our study. Mata *et al.*, [23], also studied the antioxidant activity of wild vegetables, Spanish

fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*) and reported much lower IC_{50} values than Portuguese fennel in the different assays. Similar result was also reported from Iranian sample [26]. Though the DPPH radical scavenging abilities of the extracts were less than those of ascorbic acid and BHT at the same concentration, the study showed that the extracts have the proton – donating ability and could serve as free radical inhibitors or scavengers, acting possibly as primary antioxidants. The results indicated the proton donating ability of the extractives which could serve as free radical inhibitors or scavengers and can also be use as primary antioxidants.

Table 3: IC₅₀ values of DPPH scavenging activities of seed extract of *Foeniculum vulgare*

Extraction solvents	IC ₅₀ (µg/mL)
Chloroform	>1000
Water	207.94 ± 83.38 ^a
Methanol	301.75 ± 15.71 ^b
aqueous: methanol(20:80, v/v)	249.41 ± 46.49 ^a
L- ascorbic acid	26.91 ± 1.78
BHT	30.58 ± 3.41

All values are means ± standard deviations (n=3). The IC₅₀ values are presented with their respective 95% confidence limits. Different lowercase letters within each column is significantly different at $p < 0.05$ (one way ANOVA followed by Duncan post test)

Reducing power: Figure 2 shows the reductive capabilities of samples *Foeniculum vulgare* extracts compared to BHT. The reducing power of *Foeniculum vulgare* seed extracts decreased in the order of: aqueous: methanol (20:80, v/v) > methanol > water > chloroform with values (0.711 ± 0.102), (0.598 ± 0.02), (0.504 ± 0.02), and (0.47 ± 0.03) respectively (Figure 2). The study conducted by Oktay et al., [27], on the reducing

power of water extract of fennel seed was greater than our study, at a concentration of 250 µg/mL. It was suggested that the electron donating capacity, reflecting the reducing power of bioactive compounds, is associated with antioxidant activity. The greater the intensity of the colour, the greater was the absorption; consequently, the greater was the antioxidant activity.




Figure 2: Ferric reducing power of chloroform, water, methanol, and aqueous: methanol (20:80, v/v) extracts from dried seed *Foeniculum vulgare* and controls (BHT). Each value is expressed as mean ± standard deviation (n=3).

Total Antioxidant Capacity (TAC) by Phosphomolybdenum Method: TAC of the different extracts of *Foeniculum vulgare* was evaluated by the phosphomolybdenum method and was expressed as ascorbic acid equivalents (AAE) per gram of plant extract. The TAC of *Foeniculum vulgare* seed extracts was found to decrease in the order: methanol extracts > aqueous: methanol (20:80, v/v) extract > water extract > chloroform extract and at 1000 µg/mL the values was (1.63 ± 0.19 µg/mL), (1.33 ± 0.46 µg/mL), (1.29 ± 0.78

µg/mL), and (0.74 ± 0.08 µg/mL) respectively (Figure 3). Methanol extract showed the highest TAC and significantly different from chloroform extract whereas there was no significant difference ($p > 0.05$) between methanol, water and aqueous: methanol (20:80, v/v) extracts. All the extracts showed an increase in TAC with an increase in concentration. The difference in the amount of antioxidant of extracts may be attributed to the differences in the amount and type of existing antioxidant compounds.





Figure 3: Total antioxidant capacity (mg AAE/g) of chloroform, water, methanol, and aqueous: methanol (20:80, v/v) extracts from dried seed of *Foeniculum vulgare* at different concentrations ($\mu\text{g/mL}$). Values are average of triplicate measurements (mean \pm SD). Values within the same concentration with different letters in the histogram bar are significantly different at $p < 0.05$.

Correlation analysis: A relationship between phenolic content and antioxidant activity was extensively investigated, and both positive and negative correlations were reported. Engeda D., [28], Boulanouar *et al.*, [29], Terpinic *et al.*, [30] and many other research groups stated that there was a positive correlation. In the present study, the dependence of antioxidant activity, obtained by different assays, in relation to the TPC (Figure 4) and TFC (Figure 5), was evaluated. TPC was strongly correlated with total antioxidant activity ($R = 0.93$, $p > 0.05$). However, TPC was moderately correlated with DPPH scavenging (IC_{50}) ($R = -0.63$, $p > 0.05$), and ferric reducing power ($R = 0.68$, $p > 0.05$). The results suggested that the phenolic compounds contributed significantly to the antioxidant activities of the *Foeniculum vulgare* extracts. The strong correlations observed between antioxidant

activities and phenolic content indicate phenolic compounds were a major contributor to the antioxidant activities in the *Foeniculum vulgare* extracts. This is in agreement with other studies that found strong correlations between high phenol content and high antioxidant activities in and herbs and medicinal plants [31]. Similarly, a strong positive correlation was also found between total antioxidant activity ($R = 0.94$, $p > 0.05$) and TFC in *Foeniculum vulgare* extracts. These results may be due to hydroxyl groups existing in the chemical structure of flavonoids compounds from *Foeniculum vulgare* extracts that can provide the necessary component as a radical scavenger. However, no correlation was found between total flavonoid content and ferric reducing power ($R = -0.028$) and negatively correlated with DPPH scavenging (IC_{50}) ($R = 0.77$).






Figure 4: Correlation between total phenolic contents expressed as mg GAE/g of dried extracts and various measurements of antioxidant activity; DPPH scavenging (IC_{50}) (A), ferric reducing power at 700 nm (B), and total antioxidant expressed as mg AAE/g of dried extract(C).




Figure 5: Correlation between total flavonoids contents expressed as mg CE/g of dried extracts and various measurements of antioxidant activity; DPPH scavenging (IC_{50}) (A), ferric reducing power at 700 nm (B), and total antioxidant expressed as mg AAE/g of dried extract(C).

Antimicrobial Activity: The antibacterial activity of *Foeniculum vulgare* extracts were observed by measuring the diameter of the growth inhibition zone. The results are shown in Table 4 at concentration of 100 mg/mL. The results of antibacterial activity of *Foeniculum vulgare* by disc diffusion method with the aqueous: methanol (20:80, v/v) extract showed high inhibition against *Bacillus megaterium* with the inhibition zone 12.29 ± 1.34 mm. Whereas the methanolic extract against *Bacillus megaterium* (11.94 ± 0.15 mm) and aqueous: methanol (20:80, v/v) extract against *Enterococcus gallinarium* (10.41 ± 0.52 mm),

showed moderate inhibition zone. But there was no antibacterial activity in *E.coli*, in methanol extracts. The lower antimicrobial activity against gram - negative bacteria, i.e. *E.coli*, can be attributed to the fact that they are in general more resistant due to the external lipopolysaccharide wall surrounding the peptidoglycan cell wall [32]. All chloroform and water extracts had no remarkable effect on all studied microbial strains. The diameter of the inhibition zone for each sample against each microorganism was found to be less than that of the standard antibiotics.

Table 4: Average zone inhibition (in mm) of different extracts against test microorganisms at concentration of 100 mg/mL.

Extraction solvents	Diameter of inhibition zone in mm, Including Disc Diameter of 6 mm			
	Gram +ve		Gram -ve	
	<i>Enterococcus</i>	<i>Bacillus</i>	<i>E.coli</i>	<i>Pseudomonas</i>
Chloroform	-	-	-	-
Water	-	-	-	-
Methanol	11.65 ± 0.73^{bc}	11.94 ± 0.15^{bc}	-	10.82 ± 0.38^b
Aqueous: methanol (20:80, v/v)	10.41 ± 0.52^b	12.29 ± 1.34^c	10.34 ± 0.13^b	7.86 ± 0.47^a
Kan	19.98	17.99	12.8	20.19
CN10	14.13	21.02	-	18.46

Zone of inhibition is expressed as mean \pm standard deviation, (n = 3) -: no inhibition, Kan: Kanamycin, CN10: Gentamicin. Data was analyzed by One-way ANOVA followed by Duncan post test. Different letters represent significant difference between samples ($P < 0.05$).

Most studies concerning the antimicrobial activity of *Foeniculum vulgare* showed that on gram-negative bacteria are generally less susceptible than gram-positive bacteria. The outer membrane of gram-negative bacteria contains hydrophilic lipopolysaccharides (LPS), which create a barrier toward macromolecules and hydrophobic compounds, providing gram-negative bacteria with higher tolerance toward hydrophobic antimicrobial compounds like those found in crude extracts [33]. Main components of *Foeniculum vulgare* extracts, such as anethole, estragole (methyl chavicol), fenchone and α -pinene have been previously reported to have antibacterial activity [34].

Similar findings and conclusions were drawn by various authors in their experiment they found out the aqueous extract was more effective inhibitor of bacterial growth than the other extracts [35]. Earlier reports also support the view that antibacterial activity was due to different chemical constituents including flavanoids, alkaloids, terpenoids and other compounds which are classified as active antimicrobial compounds [36]. Based on the above comparison of the result with other studies, the presence of secondary metabolite and antibacterial

activities of the same plant species from different location can vary. This antimicrobial potential could be utilized in the preparation of phytomedicines in compacting diseases caused by microorganisms in plants and human diseases.

CONCLUSIONS

Today, antioxidative properties of extracts from plants have become a great interest due to their possible uses as natural additives to replace synthetic ones. The current study showed that *Foeniculum vulgare* seed extracts contained secondary metabolites which act as antioxidant agents. The phytochemical screening test of crude extract confirmed the presence of different phytochemicals like; alkaloids, saponins, tannins, triterpenoids, flavonoids, and steroids in aqueous: methanol (20:80, v/v), methanol and water extracts. The study showed that TPC and TFC of *Foeniculum vulgare* were highest in aqueous: methanol (20:80, v/v) extract. According to the results obtained, the amounts of phenolic contents in extracts are significant for their antioxidant activities. The highest DPPH scavenging was observed in water extract. However, in reducing

power, the maximum absorbance was recorded for aqueous: methanol (20:80, v/v), which was greater the antioxidant activity. *Foeniculum vulgare* extract could inhibit the growth of gram positive bacteria and gram negative bacteria. The results of the present study demonstrated that the highest inhibition zone was found in aqueous: methanol (20:80, v/v) extract against *Bacillus megaterium*.

This antimicrobial potential could be utilized in the preparation of phytomedicines in combating diseases caused by micro organisms in plants and human beings. *Foeniculum vulgare* extract can play dual role as antioxidant and antibacterial agent, and has good potential to be applied in the food and pharmaceutical industry.

REFERENCES

1. Lightfoot YL et al. Oxidative stress and beta cell dysfunction. *Methods Mol Biol.*, 2012; 900:347-62.
2. Ahmadvand H et al. Amelioration of altered antioxidant enzymes activity and glomerulosclerosis by coenzyme Q10 in alloxan-induced diabetic rats. *J Diabetes Complications.*, 2012; 26:476-82.
3. Msaada K et al. Antioxidant activity of methanolic extracts from three coriander (*Coriandrum sativum L.*) fruit varieties. *Arabian J. of Chem.*, 2013; 12:101.
4. Mohamed DA, I-okbi SY. In vivo evaluation of antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activity of different extracts of date fruits in adjuvant arthritis. *Polish J. of food and nutr. Sci.*, 2004; 13/54(4): 397-402.
5. Iqbal S et al. Antioxidant properties and components of bran extracts from selected wheat varieties commercially available in Pakistan. *LWT-Food Sci. Tech.*, 2007; 40: 361.
6. Essawi T, Srour M. Screening of some Palestinian medicinal plants for antibacterial activity. *Ethnopharmacol.*, 2000; 70: 343.
7. Moghtader M. Comparative survey on the essential oil composition from the seeds and flowers of *Foeniculum vulgare* from Kerman province. *J. Hort. For.*, 2013; 5(3): 37-40.
8. Rather MA et al. *Foeniculum vulgare*: A comprehensive review of its traditional use, phytochemistry and safety. *Arabian J Chem.*, 2012; 1-10.
9. Birdane FM et al. Beneficial effects of *Foeniculum vulgare* on ethanol-induced acute gastric mucosal injury in rats. *World J Gastroenterol.*, 2007;13(4): 607.
10. Diaz-Maroto MC et al. Volatile components and key odorants of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare Mill.*) and thyme (*Thymus vulgaris L.*) Oil extracts obtained by simultaneous distillation-extraction and supercritical fluid extraction. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2005; 53: 5385-5389.
11. Yineger H et al. Plants used in traditional management of human ailments at Bale Mountains National Park, Southeastern Ethiopia. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*, 2008; 2(6): 132-153.
12. Poongothai A et al. Preliminary phytochemicals screening of *Ficus racemosa* Linn. bark. *Int J Pharm Bio Sci.*, 2011; 2(2): 431-434.
13. Yadav RNS, Agarwala M. Phytochemical analysis of some medicinal plants. *J Phytol.*, 2011; 3(12): 10-14.
14. Saxena J, Sahu R. Evaluation of phytochemical constituent in conventional and non conventional species of curcuma. *Int Res J Pharm.*, 2012; 3(8): 203-204.
15. Ebrahimzadeh MA et al. Antioxidant and free radical scavenging activity of Feijoa sellowiana fruits peel and leaves. *Pharmacologyonline.*, 2008; 1: 7-14.
16. Szollosi R, Szollosi Varga I. Total antioxidant power in some species of Labiatae (Adaptation of FRAP method). *Acta Biol Szeged.*, 2002; 46:125-127.
17. Yen et al. Antioxidant activity of various tea extracts in relation to their antimutagenicity. *J. Agri. Food Chem.*, 1995; 43(1): 27-32.
18. Prieto P et al. Spectrophotometric quantitation of antioxidant capacity through the formation of a phosphomolybdenum complex: specific application to the determination of vitamin E. *Anal Bio.*, 1999; 269: 337-341.
19. Bauer A et al. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disk method. *The American Journal of Clinical Pathology.*, 1966; 45(4): 493-496.
20. Xu BJ, Chang SK. A comparative study on phenolic profiles and antioxidant activities of legumes as affected by extraction solvents. *J Food Sci*, 2007; 72: 159-166.
21. Marino SD et al. Phenolic glycosides from *Foeniculum vulgare* fruit and evaluation of antioxidative activity. *Phytochemistry.*, 2007; 68, 1805-1812.
22. Conforti et al. Comparative chemical composition and antioxidant activities of wild and cultivated *Laurus nobilis L.* leaves and *Foeniculum vulgare* subsp. *piperitum* (Ucria) coutinho seeds. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.*, 2006; 29: 2056-2064.
23. Mata et al. Antioxidant and antiacetylcholinesterase activities of five plants used as Portuguese food spices. *Food Chem.*, 2007; 103: 778-786.
24. Hertog MLG et al. Dietary antioxidants flavonoids and the risk of coronary heart disease: the Zutphen elderly study. *Lancet.*, 1993; 342: 1007-1011.
25. Von Gadow A et al. Comparison of the antioxidant activity of aspalathin with that of other plant phenols of Rooibos tea (*Aspalathus linearis*), α -tocopherol, BHT, and BHA. *J Agric Food Chem.*, 1997; 45: 632-638.
26. Hinneburg I et al. Antioxidant activity of extracts from selected culinary herbs and spices. *Food Chem.*, 2006; 97:122-129.
27. Oktay et al. O.I., Determination of in vitro antioxidant activity of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*) seed extracts. *Lebensm.-Wiss. u.-Technol.*, 2003; 36: 263-271.
28. Engeda D. In vitro antioxidant and α -amylase inhibition activities of spiced Red Chili paste (Datta) from south Ethiopia. *Ethio. Pharm.J.*, 2015; 31: 93 - 106.
29. Boulanouar B et al. Antioxidant activities of eight Algerian plant extracts and two essential oils. *Ind Crop Prod.*, 2013; 46: 85-96.
30. Terpinic P et al. Studies of the correlation between antioxidant properties and the total phenolic content of different oil cake extracts. *Ind Crop Prod.*, 2012; 39: 210-217.
31. Li H et al. Antioxidants properties in vitro and total phenolic contents in methanol extracts from medicinal plants. *Food Sci Technol.*, 2008; 41: 385-390.
32. Zinoviadou KG et al. Physico-chemical properties of whey protein isolate films containing oregano oil and their antimicrobial action against spoilage flora of fresh beef. *Meat Sci.*, 2009.

Abebie et al., World J Pharm Sci 2017; 5(3): 198-208

33. Joshi, R.K. Chemical constituents and antibacterial property of the essential oil of the roots of *Cyathocline pupurea*. J Ethnopharmacol., 2013; 145(2): 621-625.
34. Ebeed et al. Antimutagenic and chemoprevention potentialities of sweet fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare Mill.*) hot water crude extract. Journal of American Science., 2010; 6 (9): 831-822.
35. Krishna KT et al. Antibacterial and antifungal activity of secondary metabolites from some medicinal and other common plant species, Journal of Life Sciences., 1997; 2: 14-19.
36. Rojas A et al. Screening for antimicrobial activity of crude drug extracts and pure natural products from Mexican medicinal plants. Journal of Ethnopharmacology., 1992; 35: 275-283.