



Seroprevalence of *Salmonella* Paratyphi in Haryana – four year study at a tertiary health care center

Kiran Bala¹, Menal Gupta², Seema Mittal³, Uma Chaudhary⁴

¹Professor, ²Junior Resident, ³Senior Resident, and ⁴Senior Professor, Department of Microbiology, Pt. BD Sharma PGIMS, Rohtak, Haryana, India

Received: 29-01-2015 / Revised: 21-02-2015 / Accepted: 25-02-2015

ABSTRACT

Enteric fever is a common cause of febrile illness in developing countries including India. The principal causative agent is *Salmonella* Typhi. In the Indian subcontinent, *Salmonella* Paratyphi A is also prevalent and the incidence of paratyphoid fever is reported to be increasing. The diagnosis of typhoid and paratyphoid fever is best made by isolating the bacteria from blood or stool specimens. The rising titer of antibodies against *Salmonella* antigens also helps in the diagnosis, especially where culture facilities are deficient. The present study was carried out to determine the seroprevalence of *S. Paratyphi* A in a tertiary care hospital in northern India. A rising trend of antibody titers was observed over a period of four years.

Keywords: Enteric fever, Paratyphi A, Widal, seroprevalence

INTRODUCTION

Enteric fever is a noted cause of morbidity worldwide with an estimated 21.7 million cases, the majority being reported from India, South America and sub-Saharan Africa. [1] It is classically caused by *Salmonella enterica* serotype Typhi. *Salmonella enterica* serotype Paratyphi A is also prevalent in the Indian subcontinent, while *S. Paratyphi* B is found in Indonesia, Malaysia and the Mediterranean region, and *S. Paratyphi* C in Africa.[2] *S. Paratyphi* A causes a milder form of the disease and was reported less frequently in India. However an increasing trend has been observed since 1996. [3] Human beings are the only reservoir and the disease is transmitted by faecally contaminated water and food in endemic areas, especially by carriers. [4] Definitive diagnosis of enteric fever is made by isolation of *Salmonella* spp. from blood, bone marrow, faeces or urine specimens. However, in many countries including India, Widal test is widely used for diagnosis of enteric fever because it is relatively cheap, easy to perform and requires minimal training and equipment. Specific antibody levels in excess of the baseline or four-fold rise in antibody titers over 10-14 days are considered diagnostic of enteric fever. [5] The present study was carried out to determine the seroprevalence of *S. Paratyphi* A and the trend over four years, in a tertiary care hospital in northern India.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The retrospective study was conducted on serum samples received in the Department of Microbiology, from suspected cases of enteric fever over a period of four years (January 2010 to December 2013). The serum samples were tested by Widal tube agglutination test using commercially available lipopolysaccharide 'O' and protein flagellar 'H' antigens of *S. Typhi* and *S. Paratyphi* A (Central Research Institute, Kasauli, India). Double dilutions of patients sera (1:40 to 1:320) were tested and positive and negative controls were included in each batch. The tubes were incubated at 37°C for 2 hours, at room temperature overnight and then examined for agglutination. In a study conducted by the same authors, it was concluded that the titer of H agglutinins of *S. Paratyphi* A was 1:40 in this region.[5, 6] Hence, titer of 1:80 was taken as the cut-off in the present study.

RESULTS

Prevalence rates observed were: 1.6% in 2010, 2.1% in 2011, 2.4% in 2012 and 3.1% in 2013. The male to female ratio also varied from 1.47 in 2010 to 0.82 in 2013. In the year 2010, maximum seropositive patients were in the age-group of 41-50 years; while in the subsequent years most cases were 21-30 years old (table 1). Higher titers (>

1:160) were noted more frequently than lower titers of 1:40 to 1:80 (table 2).

DISCUSSION

Accurate diagnosis of typhoid fever at an early stage is important not only for diagnosis of etiological agent, but also to identify individuals who serve as carriers and may be responsible for outbreaks of enteric fever.[7] In the present study, an increasing trend of seropositivity was observed, with prevalence rising from 1.6% in 2010 to 3.1% in 2013. A change in the age and gender distribution was also noted. The older adults (41-50 years) were most affected in 2010. Subsequently in 2011, 2012 and 2013, most cases were reported in young adults (21-30 years). In 2010-2012, males were more frequently affected, while in 2013 females outnumbered the male cases. In the study by Andualem et al, 1.5% cases of *S. Paratyphi* were

identified.[8] Several studies have reported similar increase in enteric fever due to *S. Paratyphi A*. [9-11] Poor sanitation and hygiene in the rural areas, and rapid urbanization with lack of infrastructure contribute to the spread of disease in the community. Also, vaccination against *S. Typhi* may have led to a relative increase in prevalence of paratyphoid fever. Spreading awareness and provision of sanitation in rural areas and urban slums are essential to control such diseases.

CONCLUSION

Over the four year period, an increase in seroprevalence of *S. Paratyphi A* was noted among all age-groups, irrespective of gender. The poor hygiene, lack of healthcare facilities and the rising population, especially in rural areas, have led to high seroprevalence of *S. Typhi* and *S. Paratyphi A* in the region.

Table 1: Age and gender distribution of *Salmonella Paratyphi A*

Age groups(years)	2010		2011		2012		2013	
	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
0-10	1	-	11	7	8	10	13	13
11-20	4	1	11	10	12	9	14	20
21-30	6	-	18	10	18	15	20	20
31-40	16	16	10	12	10	7	9	14
41-50	20	17	10	6	7	9	6	11
51-60	1	-	2	-	6	8	9	15
61-70	1	-	-	-	4	3	7	4
71-80	1	-	-	-	3	-	2	-
Total	50	34	62	45	68	61	80	97
Total no. of samples	5197		4990		5328		5647	

Table 2: Prevalence of *S. Paratyphi A* titer

Titer	2010	2011	2012	2013
1:40	25	27	36	44
1:80	11	-	-	-
1:160	22	10	49	47
1:320	51	97	80	130

REFERENCES

- Banerjee T, et al. Trends of typhoid fever seropositivity over ten years in north India. Indian J Med Res 2014;140:310-3.
- Meltzer E, Schwartz E. Enteric Fever: An Israeli perspective. Israel Med Ass J 2007;9:736-41.
- Chandel DS, et al. Drug resistant *Salmonella enterica* serotype Paratyphi A in India. Emerg Infect Dis 2000;6:420-1.
- Crump JA, et al. The global burden of typhoid fever. Bull World Health Organ 2004;82(5):346-53.
- Mittal S, et al. Baseline titer for Widal test in Haryana, India. Int J Curr Microbiol App Sci 2014; 3: 93-6.
- Kok TW, Worswick D, Gowans E. Some serological techniques for microbial and viral infections. In: Mackie and McCartney Practical Medical Microbiology, 14th ed, Collee JG, Fraser AG, Marmion BP, Simmons A, Eds; Churchill Livingstone: New York, 1996; pp. 179-204.
- Gopalakrishnan V, et al. Typhoid fever in Kuala Lumpur and a comparative evaluation of two commercial diagnostic kits for the detection of antibodies to *Salmonella Typhi*. Singapore Med J 2002;43:354-8.
- Andualem G, et al. A comparative study of Widal test with blood culture in the diagnosis of typhoid fever in febrile patients. BMC Res Notes 2014;7:653-7.
- Verma S, et al. Emerging *Salmonella Paratyphi A* enteric fever and changing trends in antimicrobial resistance pattern of salmonella in Shimla. Indian J Med Microbiol 2010;28:51-3.
- Malla S, et al. Epidemiology of typhoid and paratyphoid fever in Kathmandu: Two years study and trends of antimicrobial resistance. J Nepal Med Assoc 2005;44: 18-22.
- Anjum P, et al. Increasing prevalence of multidrug resistant *Salmonella enterica* serotype Paratyphi A in patients with enteric fever. Pakistan J Med Res 2004; 43: 56-9.a