World Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences ISSN (Print): 2321-3310; ISSN (Online): 2321-3086 Published by Atom and Cell Publishers © All Rights Reserved Available online at: http://www.wjpsonline.org/ Original Article



Synthesis, characterization and evaluation of amide based prodrugs of Norfloxacin

Shah Alam Khan^a, Aftab Ahmad^b and Asif Husain^{c*}

^aDepartment of Pharmacy, Oman Medical College, Muscat, Sultanate of Oman ^bJeddah Community College, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia ^cDepartment of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Hamdard University, New Delhi-110062, India

Received: 05-07-2015 / Revised: 16-07-2015 / Accepted: 24-07-2015

ABSTRACT

A series of new prodrugs (**3a-e**) of norfloxacin (**1**) was synthesized using 4-oxo-4-substituted-phenylbutanoic acids (**2a-e**) as promoiety. An amide-linkage was established between the secondary amino group of **1** with the free carboxylic group of **2** following a single-step synthesis. The structure of the synthesized prodrugs was established on the basis of IR, ¹HNMR and mass spectral data. The title compounds (**3a-e**) were evaluated for *in-vitro* antibacterial activity against some selected pathogenic bacterial strains. The minimum inhibitory concentration (*MIC*) results indicated that two compounds (**3c** & **3d**) were excellent in their antibacterial action.

Key words: Aroyl propionic acid, Norfloxacin, quinolone, antibacterial.

INTRODUCTION

Norfloxacin is a broad spectrum synthetic antibiotic that belongs to the fluoroquinolone class of antibacterial drugs. It is an important drug for the treatment of variety of infections but its blood and urinary recoveries levels after oral administration are not sufficient that limits its clinical usefulness [1,2]. In order to have better oral bioavailability, optimum blood concentration and sustained release for better clinical results of this antibiotic, several attempts have been made in the past to synthesize prodrugs and mutual prodrugs of norfloxacin [2-4].

Prodrug design comprises an important and fruitful area of drug research devoted to optimization of drug delivery where the pharmacologically inactive derivative of the active drug undergoes chemical and/or enzymatic biotransformation, resulting in the release of active drug in the body [5]. In a prodrug approach or bioreversible chemical derivatization, generally an inert or non-toxic carrier group or promoiety is linked to an active drug which undergoes enzymatic hydrolysis *in vivo* to release the active drug. The parent drug after detachment from the carrier or promoiety then shows the desired biological response. A mutual prodrug consists of two pharmacologically active agents coupled together in the form of a single molecule and each acts as promoiety for the other agent [6-8]. Amide-based prodrugs have desirable characteristics with reasonable in vitro chemical stability which allows them to be formulated with adequate shelf lives. They also function as amidase substrate and are very labile to hydrolysis in vivo [5]. The amide derivatives are converted to the parent compounds in vivo by hydrolytic mechanisms. Aroyl propionic acids have been found to be good promoities for prodrug synthesis and several prodrugs prepared with them have shown potential in the preclinical studies [9,10]. Further, substitution of piperazinyl ring at C-7 position of fluoroquinolones can have great impact potency, solubility, spectrum and on pharmacokinetic profile of this class of antibiotics [11].

In view of these observations and in continuation of our work on prodrugs [7, 8, 10, 12], it was considered worthwhile to design and synthesize various amide-based prodrugs of norfloxacin by using 4-oxo-4-substituted-phenyl propionic acids as promoiety. Therefore, the present study aimed to synthesize amide prodrugs of the commonly used antibiotic norfloxacin and to evaluate their *in-vitro* antibacterial activity against some selected pathogenic bacterial strains in order to improve the pharmacokinetic and biological profile of norfloxacin. It is also anticipated that these amide

*Corresponding Author Address: Dr. Asif Husain, Sr. Asstt. Professor, Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy Hamdard University (Jamia Hamdard) New Delhi-110062

prodrugs would have sustained release and thus longer duration of action and even low doses might be required to produce the desired effects in antimicrobial therapy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

General: Norfloxacin was a gift sample from Arbro Pharmaceuticals, Ltd, New Delhi, India. Melting points were recorded in open capillary tubes and are uncorrected. The IR spectra were recorded on Shimadzu FTIR in KBr pellets (anhydrous). ¹H NMR spectrum was recorded on Bruker spectrospin DPX-300MHz with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal standard in solvent DMSO- d_6 and chemical shifts were recorded in δ ppm. Mass spectrum was recorded on a Jeol JMS-D 300 instrument. Microanalysis of the compound was done on Perkin-Elmer model 240 analyzer and the values were found within $\pm 0.4\%$ of the theoretical values. The progress of the reaction was monitored on TLC, which was performed on silica gel. Iodine chamber and UVlamp were used for visualization of TLC spots. Dry solvents were used throughout the study. 4-Oxo-4substituted-phenylbutanoic acids (2a-e) were prepared as per the reported method in literature [9,10]. The reaction involved in synthesis of prodrugs is given in scheme 1.

Chemistry:

General procedure for the synthesis of prodrugs (3a-e): Norfloxacin (1) (638 mg; 2 mmol) was dissolved in dry pyridine (8 mL), and 4-oxo-4-substituted-phenylbutanoic acid (equimolar; 2 mmol) (2) was also dissolved separately in dry pyridine (4 mL). Both the solutions were mixed together and stirred magnetically. Phosphorous oxychloride (0.6 mL) was added dropwise maintaining the temperature 0-5° C while stirring. The contents were stirred for another hour and left overnight. It was poured into ice cold water and a solid mass separated out, which was filtered,

washed, dried and crystallized from methanol:acetone mixture (1:1) to furnish TLC pure **3a-e**.

In-vitro antibacterial activity: The bacterial strains gram positive; Staphylococcus aureus (MTCC 96) & Bacilluus subtilis (MTCC 121) and gram negative: Escherichia coli (MTCC 1652) & Klebsiella pneumonia (ATCC 13883) were used. The test was carried out according to the turbidity method [13]. A solution of the compound was prepared in dimethylformamide (DMF) and a series of doubling dilutions prepared with sterile pipettes. To each of a series of sterile stoppered test tubes a standard volume of nutrient broth medium was added. A control tube containing no antimicrobial agent was included. The inoculum consisting of an overnight broth culture of microorganisms was added to separate tubes. The tubes were incubated at 37° for 24 h and examined for turbidity. The tubes with highest dilution showing no turbidity was the Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chemistry: The title prodrugs (3a-e) were synthesized in a single step as outlined in Scheme 1. The promoities, aroylpropionic acids (4-oxo-4substituted-phenylbutanoic acid; 2a-e), were synthesized following literature method [9,10]. Norfloxacin (1) was condensed with compound 2ae in dry pyridine in presence of POCl₃ at a temperature 0-5°C. Usual work up of the reaction mixture by crystallization followed from methanol:acetone mixture yielded the desired prodrug (3a-e) as colored crystalline compounds (Scheme 1). Characterization of the synthesized prodrugs was carried out; their physical and spectral data are presented in Table 1. The formation of the prodrugs was confirmed by the IR, ¹H NMR, Mass spectroscopic data and elemental analysis results.



Scheme 1: Protocol for synthesis of norfloxacin prodrugs (3a-e).

Structure elucidation of 3a-e. The following generalizations could be made after analyzing the spectral data of the synthesized compounds;

IR spectra: Infra-red spectra of **3a-e** showed the characteristic bands at around 3280 cm⁻¹ for carboxylic O-H stretching, 3025 cm⁻¹ for aromatic C-H stretching, 2985 & 2830 cm⁻¹ for asymmetric & symmetric, respectively, for C-H stretching of methyl and methylene of ethyl and piperazines moieties, 1740 cm⁻¹ for C=O stretching in ester group, 1655 cm⁻¹ for C=O stretching in tertiary amide, 1625 cm⁻¹ for pyridone C=O stretching , 1465 cm⁻¹ for C-N stretching, 1240 cm⁻¹ for C-F and carboxylic C-O stretching.

NMR spectra: The ¹H NMR spectra of **3a-e** showed a triplet and a quartet located at around δ 1.61 and δ 4.63 arising from the methyl and methylene group of ethyl moiety in norfloxacin. There were two triplets located each around at δ 2.85 and δ 3.41 integrating for the protons of two methylene groups. There appeared two multiplets located around at δ 3.31 and δ 3.88 arising from the protons of four methylene groups of piperazines moiety. There was located a doublet around at δ 6.88 arising from the lone proton *ortho* to fluorine atom. Another doublet located around at δ 8.16 could be accounted for another lone proton *meta* to fluorine. The lone proton of pyridine ring appeared as a singlet located around at δ 8.70. Protons of substituted phenyl ring appeared at appropriate places. These data are satisfactory for the structure assigned to the prodrugs.

Mass spectra: The mass spectrum of the compounds **3a-e** showed a molecular ion peak located at m/z M+ and M+1 in good intensity.

Elemental analysis: The CHN values of **3a-e** were found within $\pm 0.4\%$ of the theoretical values.

Asif et al.	, World J	Pharm	Sci 2015;	3(8):	1607-1611
-------------	-----------	-------	-----------	-------	-----------

Table 1. Prodr	Physical a	nu spectra. M n	Mol	IR	¹ H-NMR	Mass
ug	N	тат.h. (оС)/	Formula/	(cm ⁻¹)	$(\delta \text{ ppm})^a$	(m/z)
~5		Yield	Elemental	(•••••)	(~ hhm)	(**** 21)
		(%)	analysis			
3a	CH ₃ -	204- 206 / 46	$\begin{array}{ccc} C_{27}H_{28}FN_{3}O_{5} /\\ Calc: & C,\\ 65.71; & H,\\ 5.72; N, 8.51.\\ Found: & C, \end{array}$	3281 (-OH, carboxylic), 3018 (aryl C-H), 2986 & 2834 (C-H), 1731 (C=O), 1658	1.61 (t, 3H, -CH ₂ <u>CH₃</u>) 2.37 (s, - CH ₃ , tolyl), 2.87 & 3.39 (t, each, 2x -CH ₂ -), 3.31 & 3.86 (m, each, 4x-CH ₂ -, piperazines moiety), 4.62 (q, 2H, - <u>CH₂</u> CH ₃), 6.87 (d,	493 (M ⁺), 494 (M+1).
			65.54; H, 5.68; N, 8.46.	(CONH), 1621 (C=O, pyridone), 1468 (C-N), 1237 (C-F).	1H, proton <i>ortho</i> to fluorine), 7.62 & 8.01 (d, each, A_2B_2 , 4H, <i>p</i> -tolyl), 8.14 (d, 1H, proton <i>meta</i> to fluorine), 8.68 (s, 1H, pyridine ring).	
3b	C ₂ H ₅ -	178- 180 / 50	$\begin{array}{ccc} C_{28}H_{30}FN_{3}O_{5} /\\ Calc: C,\\ 66.26; H,\\ 5.96; N, 8.28.\\ Found: C,\\ 65.98; H,\\ 6.12; N, 8.15. \end{array}$	3275 (-OH, carboxylic), 3020 (aryl C-H), 2978 & 2825 (C-H), 1742 (C=O), 1663 (CONH), 1625 (C=O, pyridone), 1474 (C-N), 1242 (C-F).	1.26 & 1.59 (t, each, $2x$ -CH ₂ CH ₃), 2.72 & 4.59 (q, each, $2x$ - CH ₂ CH ₃), 2.85 & 3.33 (t, each, $2x$ - CH ₂ -), 3.29 & 3.84 (m, each, $4x$ - CH ₂ -, piperazines moiety), 6.86 (d, 1H, proton <i>ortho</i> to fluorine), 7.51 & 7.94 (d, each, A_2B_2 , 4H, <i>p</i> - ethylphenyl ring), 8.12 (d, 1H, proton <i>meta</i> to fluorine), 8.66 (s, 1H, pyridine ring).	507 (M ⁺), 508 (M+1).
3c	CH3O-	220- 222 / 52	$\begin{array}{rrrr} C_{27}H_{28}FN_{3}O_{6}/\\ Calc: & C,\\ 63.65; & H,\\ 5.54; N, 8.25.\\ Found: & C,\\ 63.53; & H,\\ 5.58; N, 8.16. \end{array}$	3278 (-OH, carboxylic), 3027 (aryl C-H), 2981 & 2830 (C-H), 1738 (C=O), 1651 (CONH), 1631 (C=O, pyridone), 1463 (C-N), 1239 (C-F).	1.63 (t, 3H, $-CH_2CH_3$) 3.89 (s, 3H, $-OCH_3$), 2.88 & 3.45 (t, each, 2x - CH ₂ -), 3.32 & 3.88 (m, each, 4x-CH ₂ -, piperazines moiety), 4.64 (q, 2H, $-CH_2CH_3$), 6.89 (d, 1H, proton <i>ortho</i> to fluorine), 7.63 & 8.12 (d, each, A ₂ B ₂ , 4H, <i>p</i> -anisyl), 8.16 (d, 1H, proton <i>meta</i> to fluorine), 8.71 (s, 1H, pyridine ring).	509 (M ⁺), 510 (M+1).
3d	Cl-	192- 194 / 56	C ₂₆ H ₂₅ ClFN ₃ O ₅ / Calc: C, 60.76; H, 4.90; N, 8.18. Found: C, 60.54; H, 4.75; N, 8.24.	3280 (-OH, carboxylic), 3032 (aryl C-H), 2994 & 2841 (C-H), 1743 (C=O), 1655 (CONH), 1627 (C=O, pyridone), 1471 (C-N), 1246 (C-F), 748 (C-Cl).	1.62 (t, 3H, $-CH_2CH_3$) 2.89 & 3.47 (t, each, 2x $-CH_2$ -), 3.35 & 3.92 (m, each, 4x- CH_2 -, piperazines moiety), 4.68 (q, 2H, $-CH_2CH_3$), 6.88 (d, 1H, proton <i>ortho</i> to fluorine), 7.66 & 8.23 (d, each, A ₂ B ₂ , 4H, <i>p</i> -chlorophenyl), 8.18 (d, 1H, proton <i>meta</i> to fluorine), 8.74 (s, 1H, pyridine ring).	513 (M ⁺), 514 (M+1), 515 (M+2).
3e	2,4-di CH ₃ -	226- 228 / 44	C ₂₈ H ₃₀ FN ₃ O ₅ / Calc: C, 66.26; H, 5.96; N, 8.28. Found: C, 66.10; H, 5.82; N, 8.17.	3282 (-OH, carboxylic), 3020 (aryl C-H), 2985 & 2835 (C-H), 1733 (C=O), 1656 (CONH), 1623 (C=O, pyridone), 1465 (C-N), 1234 (C-F).	1.60 (t, 3H, -CH ₂ <u>CH₃</u>) 2.38 & 2.52 (s, each, 2x-CH ₃ , <i>m</i> -xylene), 2.85 & 3.38 (t, each, 2x -CH ₂ -), 3.31 & 3.85 (m, each, 4x-CH ₂ -, piperazines moiety), 4.59 (q, 2H, - <u>CH₂CH₃</u>), 6.85 (d, 1H, proton <i>ortho</i> to fluorine), 7.12 (m, 2H, H- 3,5, <i>m</i> -xylene), 7.74 (d, 1H, H-6, <i>m</i> -xylene), 8.13 (d, 1H, proton <i>meta</i> to fluorine), 8.65 (s, 1H, pyridine ring).	507 (M ⁺), 508 (M+1).

	Table 1. Ph	vsical and s	pectral da	ta of norflo	xacin p	orodrugs (3a-e)
--	-------------	--------------	------------	--------------	---------	------------	-------

 $\overline{as = singlet; d = doublet; q = quartet; t = triplet; m = multiplet.}$

Asif et al., World J Pharm Sci 2015; 3(8): 1607-1611

Antibacterial activity: Prevalence of infectious diseases accompanied with increasing incidences of resistance to a large number of antibacterial agents are becoming a major concern worldwide [14]. Thus, there is a need to develop safer and effective antimicrobial agents with a broad spectrum of activity. Chen et al., in 2001 prepared a number of 7- substituted fluoroquinolone derivatives and evaluated for antibacterial and cytotoxic activity. They observed that synthesized compounds exhibit better activity against methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus than norfloxacin [11]. Literature survey revealed that 4'methylnorfloxacin and other 4-substituted piperazin-1-yl prodrugs of norfloxacin were prepared to improve the bioavailability of the parent fluoroquinolone [15,16]. In the present study, amide prodrugs of norfloxacin are prepared bv coupling it with 4-oxo-4-substitutedphenylbutanoic acids in a hope to improve the spectrum and pharmacokinetic profile of norfloxacin. In-vitro antibacterial activity of the compounds 3a-e was carried out against the four bacterial strains: two Gram positive (Staphylococcus aureus & Bacilluus subtilis), and two Gram negative (Escherichia coli & Klebsiella pneumonia) as per the reported method [13]. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was determined, and results indicated that prepared amide prodrugs possess good to excellent antibacterial activity against all the tested bacterial strains. Compound 3d showed excellent activity

against S. aureus, E. coli, and B. subtilis with MIC 6.25 μ g/mL, and good activity against K. pneumonia with MIC 12.5 µg/mL. Another compound 3c showed very good activity against E. coli with MIC 6.25 µg/mL, and good activity against S. aureus, B. subtilis and K. pneumonia with MIC 12.5 µg/mL. Norfloxacin showed MIC value ranging from 3.12-6.25 µg/mL against the tested microbes. Though in-vitro antibacterial evaluation, the synthesized compounds are found to be less active than the parent drug norfloxacin but it is expected that after in- vivo hydrolysis (by amidases and/or other enzymes) the prodrug would break into its parent compounds which have established antibacterial activity. In vivo studies are in progress in our laboratory to establish the suggested hypothesis.

CONCLUSION

Five new amide-based prodrugs (**3a-e**) were successfully synthesized by condensing norfloxacin with 4-oxo-4-substituted-phenylbutanoic acid (**2ae**) in a single step. Two prodrugs (**3c** & **3d**) showed excellent antibacterial activity against the tested bacteria. Hydrolysis studies are required to assess the fate of the prodrugs in the system.

Acknowledgements: One of the authors (AH) is thankful to DST, New Delhi for financial support.

REFERENCES

- 1. Bodor N. In: Optimization of drug delivery, Alfred Benzon Symposium 17, edited by Bundgaard H et al. Monskgaard, Copenhagen, 1982, 156.
- 2. Khan MSY, Raghuvanshi P. Prodrugs of nalidixic acid and norfloxacin. Indian J Chem 2001; 40B: 530-32.
- 3. Hribar-Kikelj A et al. Amino acid norfloxacin derivatives as prodrugs. Pharmazie 1993; 48: 64-5.
- 4. Alexender J et al. (Acyloxy) alkyl carbamate prodrugs of norfloxacin. J Med Chem 1991; 34: 78-81.
- 5. Husain A et al. Amide prodrugs of NSAIDs: Synthesis and biological evaluation with a hydrolysis study. SAJP 2015;4(3): 145-52.
- 6. Bhosle D et al. Mutual prodrug concept: Fundamentals and applications. Indian J Pharm Sci 2006; 68: 286-94.
- 7. Husain A et al. Studies on an amide based mutual prodrug: synthesis and evaluation. J Biomed Pharm Res 2015; 4(2): 43-6.
- Husain A et al. Synthesis, biological activities and pharmacokinetics studies of a mutual prodrug of aceclofenac and paracetamol. Med Chem Res 2014; 23(3) 1077-83.
- 9. Husain A et al. New amides of sulphonamides: Synthesis and biological evaluation. J Chil Chem Soc 2010; 55(1): 74-7.
- 10. Khan MSY et al. Synthesis of some new prodrugs of sulphonamides and studies on their antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory action. Sci Pharm 2002; 70: 277-86.
- 11. Chen YL et al. Synthesis and antibacterial evaluation of certain quinolone derivatives. J Med Chem 2001; 44: 2374-77.
- 12. Husain A et al. Synthesis, characterization and antibacterial evaluation of a prodrug of norfloxacin. Ally J Pharm Sci 2015; 1(2): 528-34.
- 13. Cruickshank R et al. *Medical Microbiology*, 2nd volume; Churchill-Livingstone: Edinburge, London, 1975.
- Danishuddin M et al. AMDD: Antimicrobial drug database. Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics 2012; 10(6): 360-63.
 Kondo H et al. Studies on prodrugs. 5. Synthesis and antimicrobial activity of N-(Oxoalkyl)-norfloxacin derivatives. J Med Chem 1986; 29: 2020-24.
- Kondo H et al. Studies on prodrugs. 11. Synthesis and antimicrobial activity of N-[(4-Methyl-5-methylene-2-oxo-1,3-dioxolan4yl)oxy] norfloxacin. J Med Chem 1989; 32: 671-74.